arXiv:1412.5383v2 [math.FA] 2 Sep 2015

Perturbations of positive semigroups on L_p-spaces

Christian Seifert Marcus Waurick

Abstract

We give a characterization of a variation of constants type estimate relating two positive semigroups on (possibly different) L_p-spaces to one another in terms of corresponding estimates for the respective generators and of estimates for the respective resolvents. The results have applications to kernel estimates for semigroups induced by accretive and non-local forms on σ-finite measure spaces.

Keywords: positive C_0-semigroups kernel estimates perturbed semigroups
MSC2010: 35B09 35B20 35K08

1 Introduction

In this note, we shall further investigate a result recently obtained in [15]. In that article, the authors gave a semigroup-theoretic proof of a perturbation result obtained in [6, Lemma 3.1], which was subsequently used to derive heat kernel estimates for non-local Dirchlet forms, see [5, 8, 10, 12]. The aim of the present paper is to state a more general version of the perturbation result in [15]. As a corollary, we recover the main result of [15] as a special case. As it turns out, the generalization obtained here leads to a characterization of a weak formulation of a variations of constants type estimate for positive semigroups.

To be more precise, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, m)$ be a localizable measure space, $1 \leqslant p, q < \infty$ and S and T be positive C_0-semigroups on $L_2(m)$ (over $\mathbb{R}$). Assuming that S also acts on $L_p(m)$ and T also acts on $L_q(m)$ we will characterize the validity of the (scalar) estimate

$$\langle T(t)u\,,\,v'\rangle_{2,2} \leqslant \langle S(t)u\,,\,v'\rangle_{2,2} + C\int_0^t \langle T(t-s)u\,,\,g\rangle_{q,q'}\,\langle f\,,\,S(s)'v'\rangle_{p,p'}\,ds \quad (t \geqslant 0)$$

for suitable positive elements u, f, g, v' by means of the generators of the two semigroups, and also by their resolvents. Here,

$$\langle \varphi\,,\,\psi\rangle_{p,p'} := \int_\Omega \varphi\psi\,dm$$

denotes the dual pairing of $\varphi \in L_p(m)$ and $\psi \in L_{p'}(m) = L_p(m)'$ with $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ the dual exponent. We shall also provide a strong formulation of this characterization, which can be viewed as a perturbation result for positive semigroups on L_p-spaces.

The results obtained have applications to kernel estimates for positive semigroups acting on L_p-spaces, and can be used to derive heat kernel estimates (and in some cases also the existence of a kernel) for semigroups induced by Dirichlet forms perturbed by non-local parts. This leads to a further generalization of the heat kernel estimates obtained in [6]. In fact, for some measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, m)$, consider a closed accretive form τ_0 on $L_2(m)$ such that the functions of finite support are dense in $D(\tau_0)$ (see below for precise definitions). Let τ_0 induce a positive and L_∞-contractive (i.e. submarkovian) semigroup S. Perturbing τ_0 additively by τ_j given by

$$\tau_j(u) := \int_{\Omega\times\Omega} (u(x) - u(y))^2 j(x,y) dm^2(x,y)$$

for some measurable $j\colon \Omega \times \Omega \to [0,\infty)$ satisfying certain integrability assumptions, we obtain kernel estimates for the semigroup induced by $\tau := \tau_0 + \tau_j$ in terms of the kernel of S for j belonging to a sum of L_p-spaces in a sense to be specified below. Established results, see [6, 15], work for bounded j and regular Dirichlet forms τ_0.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we provide a technical lemma (Lemma 2.1) to be used in the main theorem of this article (Theorem 3.3). As it turns out, this lemma can be viewed to describe a behavior of certain convolutions and, thus, may be of independent interest. Section 3 introduces the framework and contains the statement of our main theorem, and we also state some variants of it. In Section 4 we focus on kernel estimates assuming that both semigroups have kernels. There we also show existence of a kernel for T in the case $q = 1$. The concluding Section 5 deals with an application to heat kernel estimates for non-local forms.

2 An auxiliary result

In this section we provide a key lemma needed in the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 2.1. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\varphi_n, \psi_n\colon [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous in the first variable, $\varphi, \psi\colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, with $\varphi_n(\cdot, n) \to \varphi$ uniformly on compacts, $\psi_n(\cdot, n) \to \psi$ uniformly on compacts. Assume $\varphi_n(t,l) = \varphi_l(t \cdot \frac{l}{n}, l)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{N}, t \geqslant 0$, and similarly for ψ_n. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \varphi_{n-1}(t, n-l)\psi_{n-1}(t,l) \to \int_0^1 \varphi(t(1-s))\psi(ts)\, ds = \int_0^t \varphi(t-s)\psi(s)\, ds \quad (t \geqslant 0).$$

Proof. For $n \geqslant 2$ define $\mu_n := \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \delta_l$, δ_l the Dirac measure at l, and $\nu_n := \mu_n(n\cdot)$. Then,

for λ denoting the Lebesgue measure, $\nu_n \to \lambda$ weakly on $(0,1)$. Let $t \geqslant 0$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\varphi_{n-1}(t,n-l)\psi_{n-1}(t,l) &= \int_0^n \varphi_{n-1}(t,n-l)\psi_{n-1}(t,l)\,d\mu_n(l)\\ &= \int_0^1 \varphi_{n-1}(t,n(1-l))\psi_{n-1}(t,nl)\,d\nu_n(l)\\ &= \int_0^1 \varphi_{n(1-l)}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}(1-l),n(1-l))\psi_{nl}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}l,nl)\,d\nu_n(l).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $K := [0,2t]$. Then there exist $0 < a < b < 1$ such that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left|\left(\int_0^a + \int_b^1\right)\varphi_{n(1-l)}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}(1-l),n(1-l))\psi_{nl}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}l,nl)\,d\nu_n(l)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\int_a^b \varphi_{n(1-l)}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}(1-l),n(1-l))\psi_{nl}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}l,nl)\,d\nu_n(l) \to \int_a^b \varphi(t(1-s))\psi(ts)\,ds.$$

There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geqslant N$ we have

$$\begin{gathered}\|\varphi_n(\cdot,n)-\varphi\|_{\infty,K}, \|\psi_n(\cdot,n)-\psi\|_{\infty,K} \leqslant \varepsilon,\\ \left|\int_0^1 \varphi(t(1-s)\psi(ts)\,d(\nu_n(s)-\lambda(s))\right| \leqslant \varepsilon,\end{gathered}$$

and

$$\sup_{l\in[0,1]}\left|\varphi(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}(1-l))\psi(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}l) - \varphi(t(1-l))\psi(tl)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon$$

since φ and ψ are uniformly continuous on K. For $n \geqslant N\max\{(1-a)^{-1},(1-b)^{-1},a^{-1},b^{-1}\} \geqslant N$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_a^b \varphi_{n(1-l)}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}(1-l),n(1-l))\psi_{nl}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}l,nl)\,d\nu_n(l) - \int_a^b \varphi(t(1-s))\psi(ts)\,ds\right|\\ &\leqslant \int_a^b \left|\varphi_{n(1-l)}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}(1-l),n(1-l))\psi_{nl}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}l,nl) - \varphi(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}(1-l))\psi(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}l)\right| d\nu_n(l)\\ &\quad + \int_a^b \left|\varphi(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}(1-l))\psi(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}l) - \varphi(t(1-l))\psi(tl)\right| d\nu_n(l)\\ &\quad + \left|\int_a^b \varphi(t(1-s))\psi(ts)\,d(\nu_n(s)-\lambda(s))\right|\\ &\leqslant \int_a^b \left|\varphi_{n(1-l)}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}(1-l),n(1-l)) - \varphi(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}(1-l))\right|\left|\psi_{nl}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}l,nl)\right| d\nu_n(l)\\ &\quad + \int_a^b \left|\varphi(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}(1-l))\right|\left|\psi_{nl}(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}l,nl) - \psi(t\tfrac{n}{n-1}l)\right| d\nu_n(l) + \varepsilon + \varepsilon\\ &\leqslant \Big(\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N},s\in K}|\psi_n(s,n)| + \sup_{s\in K}|\varphi(s)|\Big)\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

□ □

3 A perturbation result

We start by recalling the definition of a localizable measure space.

Definition. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, m)$ be a measure space. Then $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, m)$ is called *semifinite* if for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$ with $m(A) = \infty$ there exists $B \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $B \subseteq A$ and $0 < m(B) < \infty$. Furthermore, we say that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, m)$ is *localizable* if it is semifinite and for all $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

(a) $m(E \setminus A) = 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$,

(b) If $B \in \mathcal{F}$ and $m(E \setminus B) = 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$, then $m(A \setminus B) = 0$.

Note that every σ-finite measure space is localizable, and that a measure space is localizable if and only if $L_1(m)' = L_\infty(m)$ (with the canonical embedding), see e.g. [14, 11].

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, m)$ be a localizable measure space, $1 \leqslant p, q < \infty$. Let p' be the dual exponent defined by $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ (where $\frac{1}{\infty} := 0$), and similarly for q. For $f \in L_p(m)$, $g \in L_{p'}(m)$ $(= L_p(m)')$ we write

$$\langle f \, , \, g \rangle_{p,p'} = \int_\Omega f g \, dm$$

for the dual pairing. All vector spaces appearing will be real-valued and over the field $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let S be a C_0-semigroup on $L_p(m)$, T a C_0-semigroup on $L_q(m)$. Let $f \in L_p(m)$, $v' \in L_{p'}(m)$, $u \in L_q(m)$, $g' \in L_{q'}(m)$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \langle T(t-s)u \, , \, g' \rangle_{q,q'} \, \langle f \, , \, S(s)'v' \rangle_{p,p'} \, ds \to \langle u \, , \, g' \rangle_{q,q'} \, \langle f \, , \, v' \rangle_{p,p'} \quad (t \to 0).$$

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \langle T(t-s)u \, , \, g' \rangle_{q,q'} \, \langle f \, , \, S(s)'v' \rangle_{p,p'} \, ds - \langle u \, , \, g' \rangle_{q,q'} \, \langle f \, , \, v' \rangle_{p,p'} \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \langle T(t-s)u \, , \, g' \rangle_{q,q'} \, \langle f \, , \, (S(s)' - I)v' \rangle_{p,p'} \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \langle (T(t-s) - I)u \, , \, g' \rangle_{q,q'} \, \langle f \, , \, v' \rangle_{p,p'} \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since T is locally uniformly bounded and $S(\cdot)'$ is weakly* continuous, the first term on the right-hand side tends to zero as $t \to 0$. Since T is strongly continuous the second term on the right-hand side tends also to zero. Thus, the assertion follows. □ □

Let T be a C_0-semigroup on $L_p(m)$ with generator A. Assume there exist $M \geqslant 1$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|T(t)u\|_q \leqslant M e^{\omega t} \|u\|_q \quad (u \in L_p \cap L_q(m), t \geqslant 0).$$

Since $L_p \cap L_q(m)$ is dense in $L_q(m)$ we can thus extend T to a C_0-semigroup T_q on $L_q(m)$. We will then say that T *also acts on* $L_q(m)$. Let A_q be the generator of T_q. Then $A_q u = Au$ for all $u \in L_p \cap L_q(m)$.

For formulating our main theorem, we shall need the following notion from semigroup theory, see e.g. [9, p 61].

Definition. Let X be a Banach space, T a C_0-semigroup on X with generator A. Then A^σ given by

$$A^\sigma := \left\{ (x', y') \in X' \times X'; \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(T(t)'x' - x') = y' \right\}.$$

is called the *weak*-generator* of $T(\cdot)'$.

Remark 3.2. It can be shown that $A^\sigma = A'$, the dual operator of the generator A of T, see e.g. [9, p 61]. If X is a Banach lattice, then so is X' and if $(\lambda - A)^{-1}$ is positive for some real $\lambda \in \rho(A)$, then so is $(\lambda - A')^{-1} = ((\lambda - A)^{-1})'$. Note that, in general, A' need not be densely defined anymore.

Theorem 3.3. *Let S, T be positive C_0-semigroups on $L_2(m)$ with generators A_S, A_T, respectively. Assume that S also acts on $L_p(m)$ and T also acts on $L_q(m)$. Let $0 \leqslant f \in L_p(m)$, $0 \leqslant g' \in L_{p'}(m)$, $C \geqslant 0$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) For $t \geqslant 0$ and $0 \leqslant u \in L_2 \cap L_q(m)$, $0 \leqslant v' \in L_2 \cap L_{p'}(m)$ we have

$$\langle T(t)u\,,\, v' \rangle_{2,2} \leqslant \langle S(t)u\,,\, v' \rangle_{2,2} + C \int_0^t \langle T(t-s)u\,,\, g' \rangle_{q,q'} \langle f\,,\, S(s)'v' \rangle_{p,p'}\, ds.$$

(b) For all $0 \leqslant u \in D(A_T) \cap L_q(m)$, $0 \leqslant v' \in D(A_S^\sigma) \cap L_{p'}(m)$ we have

$$\langle A_T u\,,\, v' \rangle_{2,2} \leqslant \langle u\,,\, A_S^\sigma v' \rangle_{2,2} + C \langle u\,,\, g' \rangle_{q,q'} \langle f\,,\, v' \rangle_{p,p'}.$$

(c) For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ sufficiently large and $0 \leqslant u \in L_2 \cap L_q(m)$, $0 \leqslant v' \in L_2 \cap L_{p'}(m)$ we have

$$\left\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{-1}u\,,\, v' \right\rangle_{2,2} \leqslant \left\langle (\lambda - A_S)^{-1}u\,,\, v' \right\rangle_{2,2} + C \left\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{-1}u\,,\, g' \right\rangle_{q,q'} \left\langle f\,,\, (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-1}v' \right\rangle_{p,p'}.$$ ∎

Proof. "(a)⇒(b)": Let $0 \leqslant u \in D(A_T) \cap L_q(m)$, $0 \leqslant v' \in D(A_S^\sigma) \cap L_{p'}(m)$. For $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \frac{1}{t}(T(t)u - u)\,,\, v' \right\rangle_{2,2} \\
&= \left\langle \frac{1}{t}T(t)u\,,\, v' \right\rangle_{2,2} - \left\langle \frac{1}{t}u\,,\, v' \right\rangle_{2,2} \\
&\leqslant \left\langle \frac{1}{t}(S(t)u - u)\,,\, v' \right\rangle_{2,2} + C\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \langle T(t-s)u\,,\, g' \rangle_{q,q'} \langle f\,,\, S(s)'v' \rangle_{p,p'}\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the limit $t \to 0$ yields the assertion by Lemma 3.1.

"(b)⇒(c)": Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be sufficiently large, $0 \leqslant u \in L_2 \cap L_q(m)$, $0 \leqslant v' \in L_2 \cap L_{p'}(m)$. Then $0 \leqslant (\lambda - A_T)^{-1}u =: \tilde{u} \in D(A_T) \cap L_q(m)$ and $0 \leqslant (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-1}v' =: \tilde{v}' \in D(A_S^\sigma) \cap L_{p'}(m)$.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{-1} u \,,\, v' \big\rangle_{2,2} - \big\langle u \,,\, (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-1} v' \big\rangle_{2,2} \\
&= \langle A_T \tilde{u} \,,\, \tilde{v}' \rangle_{2,2} - \langle \tilde{u} \,,\, A_S^\sigma \tilde{v}' \rangle_{2,2} \\
&\leqslant C \, \langle \tilde{u} \,,\, g' \rangle_{q,q'} \, \langle f \,,\, \tilde{v}' \rangle_{p,p'} \\
&= C \, \big\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{-1} u \,,\, g' \big\rangle_{q,q'} \, \big\langle f \,,\, (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-1} v' \big\rangle_{p,p'} .
\end{aligned}$$

"(c)⇒(a)": Let $0 \leqslant u \in L_2 \cap L_q(m)$, $0 \leqslant v' \in L_2 \cap L_{p'}(m)$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be sufficiently large. By induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{-n} u \,,\, v' \big\rangle_{2,2} \\
&\leqslant \big\langle (\lambda - A_S)^{-n} u \,,\, v' \big\rangle_{2,2} + C \sum_{l=1}^{n} \big\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{l-n-1} u \,,\, g' \big\rangle_{q,q'} \, \big\langle f \,,\, (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-l} v' \big\rangle_{p,p'} .
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the case $n = 1$ holds by assumption. For the inductive step from $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to $n + 1$ we observe

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{-n-1} u \,,\, v' \big\rangle_{2,2} \\
&= \big\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{-1} (\lambda - A_T)^{-n} u \,,\, v' \big\rangle_{2,2} \\
&\leqslant \big\langle (\lambda - A_S)^{-1} (\lambda - A_T)^{-n} u \,,\, v' \big\rangle_{2,2} \\
&\quad + C \, \big\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{-1} (\lambda - A_T)^{-n} u \,,\, g' \big\rangle_{q,q'} \, \big\langle f \,,\, (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-1} v' \big\rangle_{p,p'} \\
&\leqslant \big\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{-n} u \,,\, (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-1} v' \big\rangle_{2,2} \\
&\quad + C \, \big\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{-1} (\lambda - A_T)^{-n} u \,,\, g' \big\rangle_{q,q'} \, \big\langle f \,,\, (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-1} v' \big\rangle_{p,p'} \\
&\leqslant \big\langle (\lambda - A_S)^{-n} u \,,\, (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-1} v' \big\rangle_{2,2} \\
&\quad + C \sum_{l=1}^{n} \big\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{l-n-1} u \,,\, g' \big\rangle_{q,q'} \, \big\langle f \,,\, (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-l} (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-1} v' \big\rangle_{p,p'} \\
&\quad + C \, \big\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{-1} (\lambda - A_T)^{-n} u \,,\, g' \big\rangle_{q,q'} \, \big\langle f \,,\, (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-1} v' \big\rangle_{p,p'} .
\end{aligned}$$

Let $t > 0$, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \left(1 - \frac{tA_T}{n}\right)^{-n} u\,,\, v' \right\rangle_{2,2} \\
&= \left\langle \left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^n \left(\frac{n}{t} - A_T\right)^{-n} u\,,\, v' \right\rangle_{2,2} \\
&\leqslant \left\langle \left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^n \left(\frac{n}{t} - A_S\right)^{-n} u\,,\, v' \right\rangle_{2,2} \\
&\quad + Ct\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n} \left\langle \left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{n+1-l} \left(\frac{n}{t} - A_T\right)^{l-n-1} u\,,\, g' \right\rangle_{q,q'} \left\langle f\,,\, \left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{l} \left(\frac{n}{t} - A_S^\sigma\right)^{-l} v' \right\rangle_{p,p'} \\
&= \left\langle \left(1 - \frac{tA_S}{n}\right)^{-n} u\,,\, v' \right\rangle_{2,2} \\
&\quad + Ct\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n} \left\langle \left(\left(1 - \frac{tA_T}{n}\right)^{-1}\right)^{n+1-l} u\,,\, g' \right\rangle_{q,q'} \left\langle f\,,\, \left(\left(1 - \frac{tA_S^\sigma}{n}\right)^{-1}\right)^{l} v' \right\rangle_{p,p'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $f_n(t,l) := \left\langle \left(\left(1 - \frac{tA_T}{n}\right)^{-1}\right)^{l} u\,,\, g' \right\rangle_{q,q'}$, $g_n(t,l) := \left\langle f\,,\, \left(\left(1 - \frac{tA_S^\sigma}{n}\right)^{-1}\right)^{l} v' \right\rangle_{p,p'}$. By Lemma 2.1 and the exponential formula we obtain, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T(t)u\,,\, v'\rangle_{2,2} &\leqslant \langle S(t)u\,,\, v'\rangle_{2,2} + Ct\int_0^1 \langle T(t(1-s))u\,,\, g'\rangle_{q,q'} \langle f\,,\, S(ts)'v'\rangle_{p,p'}\, ds \\
&= \langle S(t)u\,,\, v'\rangle_{2,2} + C\int_0^t \langle T(t-s)u\,,\, g'\rangle_{q,q'} \langle f\,,\, S(s)'v'\rangle_{p,p'}\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

□ □

We can prove a similar statement to Theorem 3.3 (with essentially the same proof) by using the exponential growth bound for the semigroup T on $L_q(m)$ and correspondingly the norm estimate for the resolvent $(\lambda - A_T)^{-1}$ on $L_q(m)$:

Theorem 3.4. *Let S, T be positive C_0-semigroups on $L_2(m)$ with generators A_S, A_T, respectively. Assume that S also acts on $L_p(m)$ and T also acts on $L_q(m)$. Let $M \geqslant 1$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|T(t)u\|_q \leqslant Me^{\omega t}\|u\|_q$ for all $t \geqslant 0$ and $u \in L_q(m)$.*

Let $0 \leqslant f \in L_p(m)$. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) There exists $C \geqslant 0$ such that for all $t \geqslant 0$ and $0 \leqslant u \in L_2 \cap L_q(m)$, $0 \leqslant v' \in L_2 \cap L_{p'}(m)$ we have

$$\langle T(t)u\,,\, v'\rangle_{2,2} \leqslant \langle S(t)u\,,\, v'\rangle_{2,2} + C\int_0^t e^{\omega(t-s)}\|u\|_q \langle f\,,\, S(s)'v'\rangle_{p,p'}\, ds.$$

(b) *There exists* $C \geqslant 0$ *such that for all* $0 \leqslant u \in D(A_T) \cap L_q(m)$, $0 \leqslant v' \in D(A_S^\sigma) \cap L_{p'}(m)$ *we have*

$$\langle A_T u\,,\, v'\rangle_{2,2} \leqslant \langle u\,,\, A_S^\sigma v'\rangle_{2,2} + C\|u\|_q \langle f\,,\, v'\rangle_{p,p'}\,.$$

(c) *There exists* $C \geqslant 0$ *such that for all* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ *sufficiently large and* $0 \leqslant u \in L_2 \cap L_q(m)$, $0 \leqslant v' \in L_2 \cap L_{p'}(m)$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle (\lambda - A_T)^{-1} u\,,\, v'\right\rangle_{2,2} \leqslant \left\langle (\lambda - A_S)^{-1} u\,,\, v'\right\rangle_{2,2} \\ + \frac{C}{\lambda - \omega}\|u\|_q \left\langle f\,,\, (\lambda - A_S^\sigma)^{-1} v'\right\rangle_{p,p'}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now, instead of a weak fomulation (using dual pairings) in Theorem 3.4, we want to prove a strong verion of this Theorem. We need some preparation.

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $f \in L_p(m)$, $g \in L_q(m)$. *The following are equivalent:*

(a) $f + g \geqslant 0$.

(b) $\langle f + g, v'\rangle_{L_p(m)+L_q(m),L_{p'}\cap L_{q'}(m)} \geqslant 0$ *for all* $0 \leqslant v' \in L_{p'} \cap L_{q'}(m)$.

As a Corollary, we can obtain the strong version of Theorem 3.4.

Corollary 3.6. *Let* S, T *be positive* C_0*-semigroups on* $L_2(m)$ *with generators* A_S, A_T, *respectively. Assume that* S *also acts on* $L_p(m)$ *and* T *also acts on* $L_q(m)$. *Let* $M \geqslant 1$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $\|T(t)u\|_q \leqslant Me^{\omega t}\|u\|_q$ *for all* $t \geqslant 0$ *and* $u \in L_q(m)$.

Let $0 \leqslant f \in L_p(m)$. *Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) *There exists* $C \geqslant 0$ *such that for all* $t \geqslant 0$ *and* $0 \leqslant u \in L_2 \cap L_q(m)$, *we have*

$$T(t)u \leqslant S(t)u + C\int_0^t e^{\omega(t-s)}\|u\|_q S(s)f\,ds.$$

(b) *There exists* $C \geqslant 0$ *such that for all* $0 \leqslant u \in D(A_T) \cap L_q(m)$, $0 \leqslant v' \in D(A_S^\sigma) \cap L_{p'}(m)$ *we have*

$$\langle A_T u\,,\, v'\rangle_{2,2} \leqslant \langle u\,,\, A_S^\sigma v'\rangle_{2,2} + C\|u\|_q \langle f\,,\, v'\rangle_{p,p'}\,.$$

(c) *There exists* $C \geqslant 0$ *such that for all* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ *sufficiently large and* $0 \leqslant u \in L_2 \cap L_q(m)$ *we have*

$$(\lambda - A_T)^{-1}u \leqslant (\lambda - A_S)^{-1}u + \frac{C}{\lambda - \omega}\|u\|_q(\lambda - A_S)^{-1}f.$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.4, we realize that it suffices to observe that the statements (a),(b) and (c) from Theorem 3.4 are equivalent to the corresponding ones here. For this, note that there is nothing to show for the statement (b) and that the remaining equivalences follow from Lemma 3.5. □ □

The main result of [15] can be seen as a variant of Corollary 3.6. There, the authors worked with $p = \infty$, $p' = 1$ and $f = 1_\Omega$ (note that we require $p < \infty$ here; in order to obtain the case $p = \infty$ one needs another duality argument as in [15]).

4 Kernel estimates

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, m)$ be σ-finite. Let S, T be positive C_0-semigroups on $L_2(m)$ with generators A_S, A_T, respectively, $1 \leqslant p, q < \infty$. Assume S has a kernel $k^S \colon (0,\infty) \times \Omega^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ on $L_2 \cap L_p(m)$, i.e.

$$S(t)u = \int_\Omega k^S(t,\cdot,y)u(y)\,dm(y) \quad (u \in L_2 \cap L_p(m), t > 0),$$

and similarly let T have a kernel k^T on $L_2 \cap L_q(m)$.

We can now use our result to prove kernels estimates for T in terms of the kernel for S.

Corollary 4.1. *In the above situation, let S also act on $L_p(m)$ having a kernel k^S on $L_2 \cap L_p(m)$, and T also act on $L_q(m)$ having a kernel k^T on $L_2 \cap L_q(m)$. Assume there exists $0 \leqslant f \in L_p(m)$, $0 \leqslant g' \in L_{q'}(m)$, $C \geqslant 0$ such that*

$$\langle A_T u\,,\, v'\rangle_{2,2} \leqslant \langle u\,,\, A_S^\sigma v'\rangle_{2,2} + C\,\langle u\,,\, g'\rangle_{q,q'}\,\langle f\,,\, v'\rangle_{p,p'}$$

for all $0 \leqslant u \in D(A_T) \cap L_q(m)$, $0 \leqslant v' \in D(A_S^\sigma) \cap L_{p'}(m)$. Then

$$k^T(t,x,y) \leqslant k^S(t,x,y) + C\int_0^t \int_\Omega k^T(t-s,w,y)g'(w)\,dm(w) \int_\Omega k^S(s,x,z)f(z)\,dm(z)\,ds$$

for m^2-a.a. $(x,y) \in \Omega^2$ and $t > 0$.

Proof. The kernel estimate follows from the corresponding estimate for the semigroups in Theorem 3.3 and reasoning as in the proof of [16, Korollar 2.1.11]. □ □

Remark 4.2. Note that we can choose $p = q = 2$ in the preceeding corollary.

In case $q = 1$, we can make use of Corollary 3.6 to even deduce the existence of a kernel k^T for T.

Corollary 4.3. *In the above situation with $q = 1$, let S also act on $L_p(m)$ having a kernel on $L_2 \cap L_p(m)$, and T also act on $L_1(m)$. Assume there exists $0 \leqslant f \in L_p(m)$, $C_0 \geqslant 0$ such that*

$$\langle A_T u\,,\, v'\rangle_{2,2} \leqslant \langle u\,,\, A_S^\sigma v'\rangle_{2,2} + C_0\|u\|_1\,\langle f\,,\, v'\rangle_{p,p'}$$

for all $0 \leqslant u \in D(A_T) \cap L_1(m)$, $0 \leqslant v' \in D(A_S^\sigma) \cap L_{p'}(m)$.

Then T has a kernel $k^T \colon (0,\infty) \times \Omega^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ on $L_2 \cap L_q(m)$, and there exists $C \geqslant 0$ such that

$$k^T(t,x,y) \leqslant k^S(t,x,y) + C\int_0^t e^{\omega(t-s)} \int_\Omega k^S(s,x,z)f(z)\,dm(z)\,ds$$

for m^2-a.a. $(x,y) \in \Omega^2$ and $t > 0$.

Proof. The existence of a kernel for T follows from [1, Theorem 5.9] (note that $\|u\|_1 = \int 1 \cdot u\,dm$ for $0 \leqslant u \in L_2 \cap L_1(m)$). The kernel estimate then follows from the corresponding estimate for the semigroups in Corollary 3.6(a) and reasoning as in the proof of [16, Korollar 2.1.11]. □ □

5 Application to perturbations of forms by jump parts

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, m)$ be a measure space. We give a short introduction to forms on L_2-spaces; for more information see e.g. [13, 2].

A bilinear map $\tau\colon D(\tau) \times D(\tau) \to \mathbb{R}$, where $D(\tau)$ is a subspace of $L_2(m)$, is called a *form*. We write $\tau(u) := \tau(u,u)$ for the corresponding quadratic form. A form τ is *densely defined* if $D(\tau)$ is dense in $L_2(m)$. It is called *accretive* if $\tau(u,u) \geqslant 0$ for all $u \in D(\tau)$. τ is called *continuous* if there exists $M \geqslant 0$ such that

$$|\tau(u,v)| \leqslant M\|u\|_\tau \|v\|_\tau \quad (u,v \in D(\tau)),$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\tau := \big(\tau(\cdot) + \|\cdot\|_2^2\big)^{1/2}$ is the *form norm*. We say that τ is closed if $(D(\tau), \|\cdot\|_\tau)$ is complete.

Remark 5.1. Let τ be densely defined, accretive, continuous and closed. Then we can associate an operator A to τ via

$$A \coloneqq \{(u,v) \in L_2(m) \times L_2(m);\ u \in D(\tau),\ \tau(u,\varphi) = (v \,|\, \varphi) \quad (\varphi \in D(\tau))\}.$$

Note that A is an m-accretive operator, i.e. $(Au \,|\, u) \geqslant 0$ for all $u \in D(A)$ and $R(I+A) = L_2(m)$. Furthermore, $-A$ generates a contractive C_0-semigroup $(e^{-tA})_{t\geqslant 0}$ on $L_2(m)$.

The following remarks describing Ouhabaz type criteria for invariant subsets are consequences of [4, Proposition 2.9] and [2, Remark 9.3]; see also [13, Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.13] and [2, Theorem 10.12] for related results.

Remark 5.2. Let τ be a densely defined accretive continuous closed form in $L_2(m)$ (over $\mathbb{R}$), A the associated operator and $T = (e^{-tA})_{t\geqslant 0}$ the associated C_0-semigroup. The following are equivalent.

(a) T is positive, i.e. $T(t)u \geqslant 0$ for all $0 \leqslant u \in L_2(m)$, $t \geqslant 0$.
(b) For $u \in D(\tau)$ we have $u^+ \in D(\tau)$ and $\tau(u^+, u^-) \leqslant 0$.

Remark 5.3. Let τ be a densely defined accretive continuous closed form in $L_2(m)$ (over $\mathbb{R}$), A the associated operator and $T = (e^{-tA})_{t\geqslant 0}$ the associated C_0-semigroup. The following are equivalent.

(a) T is positive and L_∞-contractive, i.e. $\|T(t)u\|_\infty \leqslant \|u\|_\infty$ for all $u \in L_2(m) \cap L_\infty(m)$, $t \geqslant 0$.
(b) For $u \in D(\tau)$ we have $u \wedge 1 \in D(\tau)$ and $\tau(u \wedge 1, (u-1)^+) \geqslant 0$.

Remark 5.4. Let τ be a densely defined accretive continuous closed form in $L_2(m)$ (over $\mathbb{R}$), A the associated operator and $T = (e^{-tA})_{t\geqslant 0}$ the associated C_0-semigroup. The following are equivalent.

(a) T is positive and L_1-contractive, i.e. $\|T(t)u\|_1 \leqslant \|u\|_1$ for all $u \in L_2(m) \cap L_1(m)$, $t \geqslant 0$.
(b) For $u \in D(\tau)$ we have $u \wedge 1 \in D(\tau)$ and $\tau((u-1)^+, u \wedge 1) \geqslant 0$.

Let τ_0 be a densely defined accretive continuous closed form on $L_2(m)$ with associated operator A_0 and C_0-semigroup $S = (e^{-tA_0})_{t\geqslant 0}$, such that S is positive and contractive in $L_1(m)$ or $L_\infty(m)$ (cf. the Remarks 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4). Then S also acts on $L_p(m)$ for all $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$ or $2 \leqslant p < \infty$, respectively, by interpolation. Note that if τ_0 is *symmetric*, i.e. $\tau_0(u,v) = \tau_0(v,u)$ for all $u, v \in D(\tau_0)$, then A_0 is self-adjoint and also $S(t)$ is self-adjoint for all $t \geqslant 0$. By duality, we then obtain that S acts on the whole scale of $L_p(m)$-spaces.

Furthermore, let us assume

$$D_{\rm fin} := \{u \in D(\tau_0);\ m([u \neq 0]) < \infty\}$$

is dense in $D(\tau_0)$.

Let $j\colon \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be measurable, $j \geqslant 0$, such that $\int_B j(x,y)\,dm^2(x,y) < \infty$ for all Borel sets $B \subseteq \Omega^2$ such that $m^2(B) < \infty$. Consider

$$D(\tau) := \Big\{u \in D(\tau_0);\ \int_{\Omega\times\Omega} (u(x)-u(y))^2 j(x,y)\,dm^2(x,y) < \infty\Big\},$$
$$\tau(u,v) := \tau_0(u,v) + \int_{\Omega\times\Omega} (u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))j(x,y)\,dm^2(x,y).$$

Lemma 5.5. *τ is densely defined, accretive, continuous and closed.*

Proof. To show that τ is densely defined it suffices to approximate elements of $D_{\rm fin}$ by elements of $D(\tau)$. Let $u \in D_{\rm fin}$. Since S is positive without loss of generality we may assume that $u \geqslant 0$. Since S is contractive in $L_1(m)$ or in $L_\infty(m)$, we have $u_n := u \wedge n \in D(\tau_0)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $[u_n \neq 0] = [u \neq 0]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we observe

$$\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} (u_n(x)-u_n(y))^2 j(x,y)\,dm^2(x,y) \leqslant (2n)^2 \int_{[u\neq 0]\times[u\neq 0]} j(x,y)\,dm^2(x,y) < \infty \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

i.e. $u_n \in D(\tau)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $u_n \to u$ in $L_2(m)$ the form τ is densely defined.

Since $j \geqslant 0$ we have $\tau(u,u) \geqslant \tau_0(u,u) \geqslant 0$ for all $u \in D(\tau)$, implying that τ is accretive.

Since τ_0 is continuous there exists $M \geqslant 0$ such that $|\tau_0(u,v)| \leqslant M\|u\|_{\tau_0}\|v\|_{\tau_0}$ for all $u, v \in D(\tau_0)$. Since the bilinear form $(u,v) \mapsto \int_{\Omega\times\Omega}(u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))j(x,y)\,dm(x,y)$ is symmetric and accretive we obtain $\|\cdot\|_{\tau_0} \leqslant \|\cdot\|_\tau$ and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} (u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))j(x,y)\,dm^2(x,y)\\
&\leqslant \left(\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} (u(x)-u(y))^2 j(x,y)\,dm^2(x,y)\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} (v(x)-v(y))^2 j(x,y)\,dm^2(x,y)\right)^{1/2}\\
&\leqslant \|u\|_\tau\|v\|_\tau \quad (u,v \in D(\tau)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|\tau(u,v)| \leqslant M\|u\|_\tau\|v\|_\tau + \|u\|_\tau\|v\|_\tau = (M+1)\|u\|_\tau\|v\|_\tau \quad (u,v \in D(\tau)),$$

i.e. τ is continuous.

To show closedness of τ let $(u_n)_n$ be a $\|\cdot\|_\tau$-Cauchy sequence in $D(\tau)$ such that $u_n \to u$ in $L_2(m)$. Without loss of generality (by choosing a suitable subsequence) we may assume that $u_n \to u$ m-a.e. Since $\tau_0 \leqslant \tau$ the sequence $(u_n)_n$ is also a $\|\cdot\|_{\tau_0}$-Cauchy sequence. Since τ_0 is closed we obtain $u \in D(\tau_0)$ and $\|u_n - u\|_{\tau_0} \to 0$. By Fatou's lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} \big((u-u_n)(x) - (u-u_n)(y)\big)^2 j(x,y)\, dm^2(x,y)\\
&= \int_{\Omega\times\Omega} \liminf_{m\to\infty} \big((u_m-u_n)(x) - (u_m-u_n)(y)\big)^2 j(x,y)\, dm^2(x,y)\\
&\leqslant \liminf_{m\to\infty} \int_{\Omega\times\Omega} \big((u_m-u_n)(x) - (u_m-u_n(y)\big)^2 j(x,y)\, dm^2(x,y)\\
&\leqslant \liminf_{m\to\infty} \|u_m - u_n\|_\tau^2 \to 0 \quad (n\to\infty).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $u - u_n \in D(\tau)$ and therefore $u \in D(\tau)$. Furthermore,

$$\tau(u-u_n) \leqslant \tau_0(u-u_n) + \liminf_{m\to\infty} \int_{\Omega\times\Omega} \big((u_m-u_n)(x) - (u_m-u_n(y)\big)^2 j(x,y)\, dm^2(x,y) \to 0$$

which implies $\|u-u_n\|_\tau \to 0$, i.e. τ is closed. □ □

Let A be the operator associated with τ and $T = (e^{-tA})_{t\geqslant 0}$ be the C_0-semigroup.

Lemma 5.6. *The semigroup T is positive. If S is contractive in $L_1(m)$, then T is contractive in $L_1(m)$, and therefore acts on $L_q(m)$ for all $1 \leqslant q \leqslant 2$. If S is contractive in $L_\infty(m)$, then T is contractive in $L_\infty(m)$, and therefore acts on $L_q(m)$ for all $2 \leqslant q < \infty$.*

Proof. Let $u \in D(\tau)$. Since S is positive we have $u^+ \in D(\tau_0)$ and $\tau_0(u^+, u^-) \leqslant 0$. Note that $(u^+(x) - u^+(y))^2 \leqslant (u(x)-u(y))^2$ for m^2-a.a. $(x,y) \in \Omega^2$. This implies $u^+ \in D(\tau)$ and

$$\tau(u^+,u^-) = \tau_0(u^+,u^-) + \int_{\Omega\times\Omega} (u^+(x)-u^+(y))(u^-(x)-u^-(y)) j(x,y)\, dm^2(x,y) \leqslant 0.$$

Hence, T is positive.

Assume that S is contractive in $L_1(m)$. Then we have $u\wedge 1 \in D(\tau_0)$ and $\tau_0((u-1)^+, u\wedge 1) \geqslant 0$. Let $u \in D(\tau)$. Since

$$\Omega\times\Omega = \big([u\geqslant 1]\times[u\geqslant 1]\big) \cup \big([u\geqslant 1]\times[u<1]\big) \cup \big([u<1]\times[u\geqslant 1]\big) \cup \big([u<1]\times[u<1]\big),$$

an easy computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau((u-1)^+, u\wedge 1)\\
&= \tau_0((u-1)^+, u\wedge 1) \quad + \int_{\Omega\times\Omega} \big((u-1)^+(x) - (u-1)^+(y)\big)\big((u\wedge 1)(x) - (u\wedge 1)(y)\big) j(x,y)\, dm^2(x,y)\\
&\geqslant 0.
\end{aligned}$$

∎

Hence, T is L_1-contractive. Since T is L_1-contractive and also L_2-contractive, it acts on the whole scale of $L_q(m)$ with $1 \leqslant q \leqslant 2$ by interpolation.

Now, assume that S is contractive in $L_\infty(m)$. Then we have $u \wedge 1 \in D(\tau_0)$ and $\tau_0(u \wedge 1, (u-1)^+) \geqslant 0$. As in the case of S being L_1-contractive, we obtain

$$\tau(u \wedge 1, (u-1)^+) \geqslant 0 \quad (u \in D(\tau)).$$

Hence, T is L_∞-contractive. Since T is L_∞-contractive and also L_2-contractive, it acts on the whole scale of $L_q(m)$ with $2 \leqslant q < \infty$ by interpolation. □ □

Note that if τ_0 is symmetric then also τ is symmetric and hence A is self-adjoint and $T(t)$ is self-adjoint for all $t \geqslant 0$.

We will now focus on the case $q = 1$ and $q' = \infty$, meaning that T is also acting on $L_1(m)$. We distinguish the following cases:

Case 5.7 $(1 \leqslant p < \infty)$**.** Assume

$$\int_\Omega j(x,\cdot)\, dm(x), \int j(\cdot, y)\, dm(y) \in L_\infty(m).$$

Case 5.8 $(1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2)$**.** Assume

$$\int_\Omega j(x,\cdot)\, dm(x), \int j(\cdot, y)\, dm(y) \in L_\infty(m) + L_{\frac{2p}{2-p}}(m).$$

In either of these cases, we may further assume that $[x \mapsto \|j(x,\cdot)\|_\infty], [y \mapsto \|j(\cdot,y)\|_\infty] \in L_p(m)$. For $0 \leqslant u \in D(A) \cap L_q(m)$, $0 \leqslant v \in D(A_0^\sigma) \cap L_{p'}(m)$, we compute using $j \geqslant 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(-Au \,|\, v) - (u \,|\, -A_0^\sigma v) &= \tau_0(u,v) - \tau(u,v) \\
&= -\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} (u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y)) j(x,y)\, dm^2(x,y) \\
&\leqslant \int_{\Omega\times\Omega} u(y)v(x)j(x,y)\, dm^2(x,y) + \int_{\Omega\times\Omega} u(x)v(y)j(x,y)\, dm^2(x,y) \\
&= \int_\Omega v(x) \int_\Omega u(y) j(x,y)\, dm(y)\, dm(x) \\
&\quad + \int_\Omega v(y) \int_\Omega u(x) j(x,y)\, dm(x)\, dm(y) \\
&\leqslant \int_\Omega v(x) \|u\|_1 \|j(x,\cdot)\|_\infty\, dm(x) + \int_\Omega v(y) \|u\|_1 \|j(\cdot,y)\|_\infty\, dm(y) \\
&= \|u\|_1 \left\langle [x \mapsto \|j(x,\cdot)\|_\infty] + [y \mapsto \|j(\cdot,y)\|_\infty],\, v \right\rangle_{p,p'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can apply Corollary 3.6 to obtain an estimate of the semigroup T in terms of the semigroup S. For the kernel estimates we apply Corollary 4.3.

Theorem 5.9. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, m)$ be a σ-finite measure space. Let $\tau_0, S, A_S, j, \tau, T, A_T$ as above, where we assume one of the Cases 5.7 or 5.8 to be satisfied. Assume $[x \mapsto \|j(x,\cdot)\|_\infty], [y \mapsto \|j(\cdot,y)\|_\infty] \in L_p(m)$. Assume that S has a kernel k^S on $L_2 \cap L_p(m)$. Then T has a kernel k^T on $L_2 \cap L_1(m)$ satisfying*

$$k^T(t,x,y) \leqslant k^S(t,x,y) + C \int_0^t \int_\Omega k^S(s,x,z)\big(\|j(z,\cdot)\|_\infty + \|j(\cdot,z)\|_\infty\big)\, dm(z)\, ds$$

for m^2-a.a. $(x,y) \in \Omega^2$, $t > 0$ and some $C \geqslant 0$.

Remark 5.10. In the situation of Theorem 5.9 one can even show that $C = 1$. In order to prove this one needs to realize that T is a contraction on $L_1(m)$, that the corresponding C_0 from Corollary 4.3 equals 1 and that C can be computed from C_0 and the norm estimate of the resolvent of A_T on $L_1(m)$.

References

[1] Y.A. Abramovich and C.D. Aliprantis, *An invitiation to operator theory.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 50, American Mathematical Society, Procivence, RI, 2002.

[2] W. Arendt, R. Chill, C. Seifert, H. Vogt and J. Voigt, *Form methods for evolution equations, and applications.* 18th Interent Seminar on evolution equations, Lecture Notes, url: `www.mat.tuhh.de/isem18`, 2014.

[3] W. Arendt, A. Grabosch, G. Greiner, U. Groh, H. Lotz, U. Moustakas, R. Nagel, F. Neubrander and U. Schlotterbeck, *One-parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators.* Springer, 1986.

[4] W. Arendt and A. F. M. ter Elst, *Sectorial forms and degenerate differential operators.* J. Operator Theory **67** (2012), 33–72.

[5] M.T. Barlow, R.F. Bass, Z.Q. Chen and M. Kassmann, *Non-local Dirichlet forms and symmetric jump processes.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361**(4) (2009), 1963–1999.

[6] M.T. Barlow, A. Grigor'yan and T. Kumagai, *Heat kernel upper bounds for jump processes and the first exit time.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **626** (2009), 135–157.

[7] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction.* Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 223. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer, 1976.

[8] Z.Q. Chen and T. Kumagai, *Heat kernel estimates for jump processes of mixed types on metric measure spaces.* Probab. Theory Relates Fields **140**(1-2) (2008), 277–317.

[9] K.-J. Engel and R. Nagel, *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations* Graduate texts in mathematics, 194. Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999.

[10] M. Foondun, *Heat kernel estimates and Harnack inequalities for some Dirichlet forms with non-local part.* Electron. J. Probab. **14**(11) (2009), 314–340.

[11] D. H. Fremlin, *Measure Theory.* Volume 2: Broad Foundations. 2001. `https://www.essex.ac.uk/maths/people/fremlin/mt.htm`

[12] A. Grigor'yan and J. Hu, *Off-diagonal upper estimates for the heat kernel of the Dirichlet forms on metric spaces.* Invent. Math. **174**(1) (2008), 81–126.

[13] E.M. Ouhabaz, *Analysis of Heat Equations on Domains.* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005.

[14] I.E. Segal, *Equivalences of Measure Spaces.* Am. J. Math. **73**(2) (1951), 275–313.

[15] C. Seifert and D. Wingert, *On the perturbation of positive semigroups.* Semigroup Forum, doi:10.1007/s00233-014-9651-7.

[16] D. Wingert, *Evolutionsgleichungen und obere Abschätzungen an die Lösungen des Anfangswertproblems.* Doctoral Thesis (2011). `http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:ch1-qucosa-107849`.